Hobley v. Burge, et al Case No. 03-3678

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS.

COUNTY OF C O O K)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY
COUNTY DEPARTMENT-CRIMINAL DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE)
STATE OF ILLINOIS)

#87-2356
VS)

MADISON HOBLEY)

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS

BE IT REMEMBERED that this cause came on for hearing on the 29th day of August, A.D., 1989, before the Honorable CHRISTY BERKOS, Judge of this court.

APPEARANCES:

HON. CECIL A. PARTEE,
State's Attorney of Cook County, by
MR. PAUL TSUKUNO, AND
MR. ANTHONY CALABRESE,
Assistant State's Attorneys,
appeared for the People.

HON. RANDOLPH N. STONE,
Public Defender of Cook County, by
MS. JULIE HARMON, AND
MR. JEFFREY HOWARD,
Assistant Public Defenders,
appeared for the defendant.

1 MR. TSUKUNO: We would call Sergeant Garrity to 2 the stand. 3 (Witness sworn.) SGT. PATRICK GARRITY, called as a witness on behalf of the People of the 5 State of Illinois, having been first duly sworn, on 6 7 the motion, was examined and testified as follows: 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. TSUKUNO: 10 Please state your name, give your star number 0 11 and present unit of assignment. 12 Α Patrick Garrity, star number 1271, assigned 13 to the 2nd District. 14 Sergeant Garrity, I want to direct your 15 attention now to January 6th, 1987. What was the 16 nature of your assignment with the Chicago Police 17 Department on that date? 18 The crime lab polygraph section. 19 On January 6th, 1987 did you have an 20 opportunity to speak with an individual who you now 21 know to be one Madison Hobley? 22 A Yes, I did. 23 Do you see that person here in court today? 24 MS. HARMON: We'll stipulate to the identification

J-11-89 mc61

```
1
      of my client, Judge.
 2
          THE COURT: All right.
 3
      BY MR. TSUKUNO:
          Q
               When on January 6th, 1987 did you speak with
 5
     Madison Hobley?
          A
               Sometime in the early afternoon.
 7
               Was that just between yourself and the
     defendant Madison Hobley?
 8
               Yes, it was.
               Now prior to having a conversation with the
10
     defendant Madison Hobley, did you have an opportunity
11
12
     to advise him of his constitutional rights?
13
               Yes, I did.
14
               Did you do that from memory or from a
15
     preprinted source?
16
         A
               From a preprinted form.
17
              And what was that preprinted form?
18
               It's a waiver that's used in the polygraph
19
     section that is presented to a subject before an exam.
20
              Now, sergeant, I'm going to show you what has
21
     been previously marked as People's Exhibit No. 1, for
22
     identification. Would you take a look at this one
23
     page document.
24
         Α
              Okay.
```

-89 mc62

- 1 Q Do you recognize People's Exhibit No. 1, for 2 identification? Yes, I do. 3 Α Q What do you recognize that to be? 5 Α A photostat copy of the waiver that was presented to the defendant prior to the polygraph exam 6 7 on the 6th of January, 1987. Now are the rights which you administered to 8 the defendant Madison Hobley contained on that 10 particular exhibit? 11 Yes, they are. Α 12 Did you read those rights to the defendant? 13 Yes, I did. 14 Would you read to the judge as you read to the defendant the rights which you gave to the 15 16 defendant. 17 I understand I have the right to remain 18 silent and that anything I say can be used against me 19 in a court of law. Do you understand that right. 20 understand that I have the right --21 THE COURT: What was his response? 22 THE WITNESS: His response was yes, he does. 23 understand that I have a right to talk to a lawyer and 24 have him present with me during questioning, and if I

cannot afford to hire a lawyer one will be appointed 1 by the court to represent me before any questioning. 2 MS. HARMON: Judge, if I may I would stipulate 3 that Officer Garrity read the rights that are on that 5 preprinted form. THE COURT: Okay. 7 MS. HARMON: Just for expediency sake. THE COURT: All right. 8 BY MR. TSUKUNO: 9 Sergeant, did the defendant indicate that he 10 Q 11 understood the meaning of what you were telling him? 12 A Yes, he did. 13 What did he say in regards to that? 14 He just said to me yes, I do, in response to my question do you understand what I've just read to 15 16 you. 17 Now did you observe the defendant place his 18 signature upon that document? 19 A Yes, I did. 20 And did you yourself place your signature on 21 that document? 22 A Yes, I did. 23 0 And is this a true and accurate photostatic copy of the rights form which you read to the 24

345

-89 mc64

```
defendant and upon which the defendant signed his own
         1
          2
              name?
                       Yes, it is.
          3
                       Now during your conversation -- did you
              subsequently then have a further conversation with the
          5
          6
              defendant?
                      Yes, I did.
          7
                       During this conversation did you ask him how
              far he went in school?
        10
                       Yes, I did.
                  A
                       What did he tell you?
        11
                  Q
                       He said that he went as far as freshman year
        12
                  A
        13
              in college.
        14
                  Q
                       Did you ask him how his health was?
        15
                  A
                       Yes, I did.
        16
                       What did he say?
                  Q
        17
                  A
                       That it was all right.
        1.8
                       Did you ask how he's feeling right now?
                  Q
        19
                       Right now meaning at the time of the exam?
        20
              Yes, I did.
        21
                  Q
                       What did he say?
13
        22
                       He said that he was feeling okay.
                  Α
        23
                       Did you ask him --
        24
                  MS. HARMON: Judge, I would object at this time if
 11-89 mc65
                                              347
```

```
these were questions asked as part of the polygraph
 1
 2
      exam.
          THE COURT: I'm going to overrule your objection
 3
      at this point.
      BY MR. TSUKUNO:
 5
               Sergeant Garrity, did you ask the defendant
          Q
      Madison Hobley if he was on any medication?
 7
 8
               Yes, I did.
          Α
               What did he say?
10
               He said that he wasn't on any doctor's
11
      medication but he had taken an aspirin.
12
              Did you ask the defendant if he was seeing a
      doctor?
13
               Yes, I did.
14
          Α
15
               What did he say?
16
               He said no.
17
               Did you ask him when was the last time you
          Q
     used marijuana?
18
19
               Yes, I did.
          A
20
         Q
               What did he say?
21
               The previous week.
22
         Q
               And did you ask him when was the last time he
23
     had alcohol?
24
               Yes, I did.
```

-11-89 mc66

Case: 1:03-cv-03678 Document #: 832-23 Filed: 10/10/07 Page 9 of 34 PageID #:7684

Hobley v. Burge, et al Case No. 03-3678

```
STATE OF ILLINOIS
                      55.
COUNTY OF COOK
                  IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY
                  COUNTY DEPARTMENT-CRIMINAL DIVISION
THE PEOPLE OF THE
STATE OF ILLINOIS
                         No. 87 - 2356
      VS
                        Charge: Murder
MADISON HOBLEY
                   Hon: Christy Berkos
                   Judge of said Court
                   July 20, A. D. 1990
Court convened pursuant to adjournment.
     APPEARANCES:
      HON. CECIL A. PARTEE
         State's Attorney of Cook County, by
      MR. PAUL TSUKUNO and
      MR. GEORGE VELCICH,
         Assistant State's Attorneys
         appeared for the People;
      MR. RANDOLPH N. STONE,
        Public Defender of Cook County, by
      MR. CLYDE LEMONS and
      MS. JULIE HARMON
        Assistant Public Defender,
        appeared for the Defendant.
```

PATRICK GARRITY. a witnes/s called by the People herein, having been 2 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. VELCICH Sir, would you please tell us your name and 7 spell your last name. 8 Patrick Garrity, G-a-r-r-i-t-y. 9 What is your occupation? 10 I am a sergeant with the Chicago Police Α 11 Department. 12 Q How long have you been a Chicago Police 13 Officer? 14 Approximately fourteen years. 15 What is your current assignment, right now? Q 16 Ά Patrol Division, Second District. 17 Q Back in January of 1987 to what unit of the 18 Chicago Police Department were you assigned? 19 A Chicago Police Department, Crime Lab. 20 How long had you been assigned there in the 21 beginning of January, 1987? 22 Approximately two years. 23 Q Now, I want to direct your attention to the 24 afternoon of January the 6th, 1987. That was a

Ŵ What was his answer? He said no. Α 3 Did you ask him the last time he had 4 consumed any alcohol? 5 Yes, I did. 6 What was his answer? 7 He said that the last time was on Sunday. 8 Again, this conversation took place on Q 9 Tuesday, is that right? 10 Α I believe it was Tuesday, yes. 11 Now, did you ask him some questions about 12 the fire that took place at his apartment building 13 during the previous night? 14 Yes, I did. 15 Specifically what did you ask him about 16 that? 17 I asked him if he started the fire. What did he say? 18 Q 19 He said no. Α 20 What else did you ask him about the fire? 21 I asked him if he knew who started the A 22 fire. 23 What did he say? Q 24 Α He said no.

Q What else did you ask him?

A I said even though he didn't know for sure.

was there anyone who he suspected would be responsible for starting the fire?

Q. What was his answer then?

A He said that maybe Angelina may have started that fire.

Q Did he tell you who Angelina was?

A Yes, he did. He explained her name, rather she was his girlfriend.

Q Did he describe to you, did he give you some information about his romantic relationship with Angelina and his wife?

apartment on East 82nd Street; that they were battling and that she was very upset with him. I asked him specifically had she made a threat to burn that building and his apartment and he said no, but I asked if she had made any other threats to him and he said yes, threats to the extent of, you will see, or you know I am going to get at you, and at one point while they were speaking she made an attempted to scratch him in his eyes.

1.9

.

Q Did he tell you when was the last time he saw Angelina?

A I believe it was Friday before this. It would have been Tuesday I was interviewing him.

The last Friday before was the last time he saw her he said.

Q Did he tell you what her feelings about him were?

A Well, they were, at the time they were strained, the relationship was very strained.

Q Did you ask him what happened on the morning of the fire when he discovered there was a fire in the building?

A Yes, I did.

Q What did he say to you then?

A He said that at the time he was sleeping with his wife in the apartment; that he heard an alarm; that he was not positive at the time but he knew it was in the early morning. It may have been three o'clock; that he got up, left his apartment and went out to investigate in the hallway; that he observed steam coming from the carpeting in the hallway and that he smelled smoke and he began to check doors in the hallway. At that point he

with me and looked away from me and kind of slumped in the chair he was sitting in.

What did he say?

A At that time I said to him that it was a very serious investigation, that I believed he was not telling the truth, that he was responsible for starting that fire last night and it was important that he told the truth.

Q What did he say?

A At that time he told me that, in fact, he was responsible for starting that fire, that he did set, start that fire in the apartment building last night.

- Q Did you ask him how he did it?
- A Yes, I did.
 - Q What did he say?

A He told me that prior to starting the fire he had taken a gas can and gone to a gas, local gas station on Cottage Grove. He didn't provide the other intersecting street, and that he said he returned to the building, that he poured gasoline in the hallway, outside his apartment and poured gasoline in the stairwell, that he took a match and lit the gasoline igniting it and that he threw the gas can somewhere

ti

on the second floor hallway. Now, when he told you that what did you say? I said to him, well, what was the reason for this? What did he say? He said he was experiencing not only 7 troubles with his girlfriend. Angelina, but he was also experiencing a lot of marital troubles with his wife. 10 At the time that he told you about throwing the gas can on the second floor, did you know that a 11 gas can was used to start this fire? 12 13 No. I did not. 14 And did you know that a gas station on Cottage Grove had been involved somehow in this fire? 15 16 No, I did not. 17 After you completed that conversation with Madison Hobley, what did you do? 18 19 I left the room and I made efforts to 20 notify the investigating detective. 21 What department or unit of the Chicago 22 Police Department was specifically assigned to 23 investigate this case? 24 A Well, there are actually two. One was

Case: 1:03-cv-03678 Document #: 832-23 Filed: 10/10/07 Page 17 of 34 PageID #:7692

Hobley v. Burge, et al Case No. 03-3678



1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE U	NITED	STA	res	3
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF EASTERN DIVISION	ILLI	OIS		
3					
4	MADISON HOBLEY,)			
5	Plaintiff,) }			
6	-vs-) No.	03	С	3678
7	CHICAGO POLICE COMMANDER JON BURGE,)			
	DET. ROBERT DWYER, DET. JAMES LOTITO, DET. VIRGIL MIKUS, DET. DANIEL))			
8	McWEENEY, DET. JOHN PALADINO, SGT. PATRICK GARRITY and the) \			
9	CITY OF CHICAGO,				
10	Defendants.)			
11					
12	consolidated with				
13	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UN	NITED	STAT	ES	
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF EASTERN DIVISION	ILLIN	OIS		
15					
16	STANLEY HOWARD,)			
	Plaintiff,)			
17	-vs-)) No	03	C	8481
18	CITY OF CHICAGO, Present and Former)	. 05	_	0401
19	Chicago Police Officers JOHN BYRNE.)			
20	JAMES LOTITO, RONALD BOFFO, DANIEL MCWEENEY, JOHN PALADINO, ROBERT DWYER, FRANK GLYNN, JON BURGE, LEROY MARKET,)			
21	FRANK GLYNN, JON BURGE, LEROY MARTIN, TERRY HILLARD, and other UNKNOWN)			
22	CHICAGO POLICE OFFICERS, as well as THOMAS NEEDHAM, and GAYLE SHINES,)			
23	Defendants.))			
24		et	: al.		

Deposition of COMMANDER PATRICK GARRITY, taken
before Katherine M. Spelson, C.S.R., and Notary Public,
pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the
United States District Courts pertaining to the taking
of depositions at Suite 100, 312 North May Street,
Chicago, Cook County, Illinois, at 10:02 a.m. on the
26th day of April, A. D. 2007.

	1	initial interview with Detective Falasz?	1	correct?
	2	A No, I don't. I don't recall filling out this	2	A Correct.
	3	form (indicating).	3	Q So Detective Falasz told you that this was a
	4	Q In the normal course of your procedures, would	4	fire in a multiflat apartment building, correct?
	5	you be writing down the information you see on the	5	A Yes.
	6	report that you just talked about while you were	6	Q And that it appears to have been started on
	7	interviewing Detective Falasz?	7	third-floor hallway?
	8	A In the normal course of procedures, I would be,	8	A Correct.
	9	yes.	9	Q And "S" is subject, correct?
	10	Q And would that include filling in that "in" box	10	A Correct.
	11	in the upper left that's says "6 Jan, '87, 1230 hours"?	11	Q So that would be Mr. Hobley, correct?
	12	A Would that include me filling in that?	12	A Correct.
	13	Q Yes, sir.	13	Q That he was a resident of the building, correct?
	14	A Yes.	14	A Correct.
	15	Q And what does that notation indicate?	1.5	Q And that his wife and child had died in the
	16	A Well, the date, which was 6 January, 1987,	16	fire, correct?
	17	1230 hours, which is 12:30 p.m.	17	A Correct.
	18	Q And that indicates the time at which	18	Q And then that Hobley had stated that he heard an
	19	Detective Falasz brought Mr. Hobley in and then you	19	alarm, entered the hallway, walked around, saw smoke in
	20	began this process; is that correct?	20	the stairwell and then left Is that "building" there?
	21	A Connect.	21	A Yes, it looks to be "building."
	22	Q So the other information that Detective Falasz	22	Q Ckay. That's something that Detective Falasz
	23	provided to you is in that remarks section above the	23	related to you about Mr. Hobley, correct?
	24	handwritten part that talks about "results"; is that	24	A I have no independent recollection of that, but
		67		68
	1		1	
	1 2	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures,	1 2	told you?
			2	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but
	2	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz.	2	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided
	2	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't	2 3 4	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz.
	2 3 4	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that	2 3 4 5	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of
	2 3 4 5	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form?	2 3 4 5 6	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that
	2 3 4 5 6	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who	2 3 4 5 6 7	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section?
	2 3 4 5 6 7	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted. Q And as you sit here, you only recall him showing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that Mr. Hobley had been in custody and had been interrogated
:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted. Q And as you sit here, you only recall him showing up as well, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that Mr. Hobley had been in custody and had been interrogated for some time prior to him bringing Hobley to the
:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted. Q And as you sit here, you only recall him showing up as well, correct? A I don't have any real independent recollection	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that Mr. Hobley had been in custody and had been interrogated for some time prior to him bringing Hobley to the polygraph department?
:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted. Q And as you sit here, you only recall him showing up as well, correct? A I don't have any real independent recollection of when they came down.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that Mr. Hobley had been in custody and had been interrogated for some time prior to him bringing Hobley to the polygraph department? A I have no recollection of that, those statements
:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted. Q And as you sit here, you only recall him showing up as well, correct? A I don't have any real independent recollection of when they came down. Q But other than Detective Falasz, would it be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that Mr. Hobley had been in custody and had been interrogated for some time prior to him bringing Hobley to the polygraph department? A I have no recollection of that, those statements being made by Detective Falasz.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted. Q And as you sit here, you only recall him showing up as well, correct? A I don't have any real independent recollection of when they came down. Q But other than Detective Falasz, would it be fair to say that the only other person or source of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that Mr. Hobley had been in custody and had been interrogated for some time prior to him bringing Hobley to the polygraph department? A I have no recollection of that, those statements being made by Detective Falasz. Q In the normal course of proceeding or following
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted. Q And as you sit here, you only recall him showing up as well, correct? A I don't have any real independent recollection of when they came down. Q But other than Detective Falasz, would it be fair to say that the only other person or source of that information would have been if he had a partner there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that Mr. Hobley had been in custody and had been interrogated for some time prior to him bringing Hobley to the polygraph department? A I have no recollection of that, those statements being made by Detective Falasz. Q In the normal course of proceeding or following your protocols, would it have been your practice to note
: : : : :	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 11	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted. Q And as you sit here, you only recall him showing up as well, correct? A I don't have any real independent recollection of when they came down. Q But other than Detective Falasz, would it be fair to say that the only other person or source of that information would have been if he had a partner there with him and the two of them were talking to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that Mr. Hobley had been in custody and had been interrogated for some time prior to him bringing Hobley to the polygraph department? A I have no recollection of that, those statements being made by Detective Falasz. Q In the normal course of proceeding or following your protocols, would it have been your practice to note in the "remarks" section that the subject had been in
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted. Q And as you sit here, you only recall him showing up as well, correct? A I don't have any real independent recollection of when they came down. Q But other than Detective Falasz, would it be fair to say that the only other person or source of that information would have been if he had a partner there with him and the two of them were talking to you? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	told you? A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that Mr. Hobley had been in custody and had been interrogated for some time prior to him bringing Hobley to the polygraph department? A I have no recollection of that, those statements being made by Detective Falasz. Q In the normal course of proceeding or following your protocols, would it have been your practice to note in the "remarks" section that the subject had been in custody and had been interrogated prior to being brought
: :: :: :: :: ::	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted. Q And as you sit here, you only recall him showing up as well, correct? A I don't have any real independent recollection of when they came down. Q But other than Detective Falasz, would it be fair to say that the only other person or source of that information would have been if he had a partner there with him and the two of them were talking to you? A Correct. Q And then at the bottom just above where it says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that Mr. Hobley had been in custody and had been interrogated for some time prior to him bringing Hobley to the polygraph department? A I have no recollection of that, those statements being made by Detective Falasz. Q In the normal course of proceeding or following your protocols, would it have been your practice to note in the "remarks" section that the subject had been in custody and had been interrogated prior to being brought to the polygraph section?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted. Q And as you sit here, you only recall him showing up as well, correct? A I don't have any real independent recollection of when they came down. Q But other than Detective Falasz, would it be fair to say that the only other person or source of that information would have been if he had a partner there with him and the two of them were talking to you? A Correct. Q And then at the bottom just above where it says "results," it says,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that Mr. Hobley had been in custody and had been interrogated for some time prior to him bringing Hobley to the polygraph department? A I have no recollection of that, those statements being made by Detective Falasz. Q In the normal course of proceeding or following your protocols, would it have been your practice to note in the "remarks" section that the subject had been in custody and had been interrogated prior to being brought to the polygraph section? A If the subject was under arrest, we may have
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted. Q And as you sit here, you only recall him showing up as well, correct? A I don't have any real independent recollection of when they came down. Q But other than Detective Falasz, would it be fair to say that the only other person or source of that information would have been if he had a partner there with him and the two of them were talking to you? A Correct. Q And then at the bottom just above where it says "results," it says, "S and wife previously arguing over marital"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that Mr. Hobley had been in custody and had been interrogated for some time prior to him bringing Hobley to the polygraph department? A I have no recollection of that, those statements being made by Detective Falasz. Q In the normal course of proceeding or following your protocols, would it have been your practice to note in the "remarks" section that the subject had been in custody and had been interrogated prior to being brought to the polygraph section? A If the subject was under arrest, we may have noted that.
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it's reflected on the report. And following procedures, that's where I would have gotten the information, from Detective Falasz. Q And other than Detective Falasz, you don't recall there being any other possible source of that information at the time you filled out this form? A If he had a partner that day, I don't recall who it was. And the only thing that triggers Falasz is I see the name as written on the report that he was the one that I noted. Q And as you sit here, you only recall him showing up as well, correct? A I don't have any real independent recollection of when they came down. Q But other than Detective Falasz, would it be fair to say that the only other person or source of that information would have been if he had a partner there with him and the two of them were talking to you? A Correct. Q And then at the bottom just above where it says "results," it says,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I have no independent recollection of that, but it's written there. And that would have been provided by Falasz. Q And do you have any recollection or knowledge of where or how Detective Falasz got the information that you see here in the "remarks" section? A I don't have any recollection of where Falasz got his information. Q Do you recall Detective Falasz telling you that Mr. Hobley had been in custody and had been interrogated for some time prior to him bringing Hobley to the polygraph department? A I have no recollection of that, those statements being made by Detective Falasz. Q In the normal course of proceeding or following your protocols, would it have been your practice to note in the "remarks" section that the subject had been in custody and had been interrogated prior to being brought to the polygraph section? A If the subject was under arrest, we may have

24

Is that something else that Detective Falasz

reviewed, I don't believe that he was under arrest. At

139

140

			138
1	Mr. Hobley from the time you first walked into the	. 1	A I have no recollection. No, I did not.
2	examination room and saw him to the time that he was led	2	Q Did you ever ball up your fist and threaten to
3	away by the detectives, did you ever kick Mr. Hobley in	3	strike Mr. Hobley with your fist?
4	the shins or the knees or the legs?	4	A No recollection of ever doing anything like that
5	A No, I did not kick Madison Hobley at any time	5	with Mr. Hobley.
6	that I was with him.	6	Q Did you ever have any kind of physical contact
7	Q Did you wear cowboy boots at that time?	7	with him other than hooking him up to the machine?
8	A Cowiboy boots?	8	A No, I did not.
9	Q Did you wear any kind of boots?	و	Q Have you ever used the word "nigger" in your
10	A I have no recollection of ever owning cowboy	10	life?
11	boots in my life.	11	A Have I ever uttered the word in my life?
12	And did I wear any kind of boots?	12	Q Yes, sir.
13	Q Yes, sir.	13	A Yes, I have probably uttered the word in my
14	A I have no recollection of wearing boots.	14	life.
15	Q Did you ever, in the course of talking to	15	Q Under what circumstances do you recall uttering
16	Mr. Hobley, raise your voice or yell or get in his face	16	that word?
17	and basically be yelling or screaming at him?	17	A It's not a word that I use in my vocabulary.
1.8	A I have no recollection of ever raising my voice	18	But in my lifetime have I ever said it? I can't think
19	to the point where I'd be yelling and screaming at him.	19	of one specific time when I would have. But I have
20	I have no recollection of anything like that.	20	would have to say that at some time in my lifetime, I
21	Q Did you ever call Mr. Hobley a "nigger"?	21	probably have.
22	A No, I did not.	22	Q Do you recall under the circumstances you have
23	Q Did you ever use any kind of racial epithets	23	used that word?
24	towards Mr. Hobley?	24	A No, I don't. I can't think of a specific time

SKYLINE COURT REPORTING, INC. 312.618.0071

SKYLINE COURT REPORTING, INC. 312.618.0071

A No, I don't. I can't think of a specific time

1	when I would have used the word.
2	MR. FEUER: Let's take a five-minute break.
3	(Recess taken.)
4	MR. FEUER: Q Commander Ganrity, could you look at
5	Exhibit No. 5, Garrity Deposition Exhibit No. 5? It's
6	titled "Supplementary Report."
7	A Yes, I do.
8	Q Okay. And is this a report that you had any
9	part of in filling out?
10	A I don't have any recollection of filling it out,
11	but that's my writing at the bottom (indicating).
12	Q Your signature there?
13	A Yes, and that's mine writing "John Stout."
14	Q Do you recall typing this up?
15	A I don't recall typing this up.
16	Q Given the fact that you signed it and put
17	Stout's name on it, does that indicate that you were the
18	one to fill this out?
19	A Well, it could have. This is like a
20	housekeeping report and, you know, has obviously limited
21	information. I don't recall doing it, but I could have
22	done it.
23	Q When you say "housekeeping report," is this kind
24	of like a final report that you do when you've completed

1	a polygraph examination?
2	A Yes, it's just something that used to go with
3	the file indicating, as it does, simply with the
4	subjects, the date and time, who requested the test and
5	then the report with "Hobley - Not truthful, posttest
6	admission" and "McDaniel - Truthful."
7	Q And looking in the bottom box in the middle, it
8	indicates there, does it not, that you finished this
9	report on January 8th of 1987?
10	A That's what it says. It was submitted that day,
11	yes.
12	Q So you put this together at some point a couple
13	of days after the actual polygraph examination of
14	Mr. Hobley?
15	A If, in fact I don't recall doing it. But if
16	I did it, it would have been. That's correct. What the
17	report reflects is that it was on the 8th of January.
18	Q And now other than the documents that you have
19	in front of you and in particular Exhibits 1 through 5,
20	did you fill out any other kind of forms or make any
21	other kinds of notes in connection with this case?
22	MR. SOTOS: Just for the record there has already
23	been reference to the spectrographs that he testified
24	there was some notations on.

Case: 1:03-cv-03678 Document #: 832-23 Filed: 10/10/07 Page 22 of 34 PageID #:7697

Hobley v. Burge, et al Case No. 03-3678

```
STATE OF ILLINOIS
                             SS:
 2
     COUNTY OF C O O K
 3
       IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
            COUNTY DEPARTMENT - CRIMINAL DIVISION
 4
 5
     THE PEOPLE OF THE
     STATE OF ILLINOIS,
 6
             vs.
                                     No.
                                          87-02356
 7
     MADISON HOBLEY.
 8
 9
10
                       REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had at
11
     the hearing of the above-entitled cause, before
     the Honorable DENNIS J. PORTER, one of the Judges
12
13
     of said Division, on Thursday, the 13th day of
14
     July, A.D., 2000.
15
16
     PRESENT:
             THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. DEVINE,
             STATE'S ATTORNEY OF COOK COUNTY, by,
17
             MR. THOMAS GAINER and MS. CELESTE STACK,
18
             Assistant State's Attorneys,
                appeared on behalf of the People of the
                State of Illinois.
19
20
             MS. ANDREA LYON, MR. KURT H. FEUER,
             and
21
             MS. EVE HANAN,
                appeared on behalf of the Defendant.
22
23
     Reported by:
     Barbara A. Evans, C.S.R.,
. 24
     Official Court Reporter
```

- 1 Q Okay.
- I now want to show you what's
- 3 been previously marked as Petitioner's Exhibit
- 4 No. 6.
- 5 MS. LYON: May I approach the witness
- 6 again, your Honor?
- 7 THE COURT: You may.
- 8 BY MS. LYON:
- 9 Q I would ask you to take a look at
- 10 that. It's apears to be another arrest report
- 11 regarding Andre Council?
- 12 A Yes.
- .13 Q What's the charge in that arrest
- 14 report?
- A It's difficult to read, but obviously
- 16 an arson for, looks like investigate arson and
- 17 then the body says that he was picked up for
- 18 investigation of an arson that occurred at 8216
- 19 S. Dobson. That's the one I was talking about.
- Q 2816 S. Dobson, is that close to
- 21 where this fire was?
- 22 A Just around the corner. And, in
- 23 fact, that's the where we had gone and talked to
- the witnesses of the fire that had been started

- 1 in that building and that Andre Council had been
- 2 seen running away from it.
- Q Okay.
- And that building, the one on
- 5 South Dobson, was that an apartment building?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Was that fire started by gasoline?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Was that fire started by gasoline
- being poured on the stairs in that apartment
- 11 building?
- 12 A Yes, it was.
- Q Did you find that to be significant
- 14 in any way?
- A Obviously that's why we wanted to
- 16 introduce it this fire. Here was a fire that, in
- 17 my opinion, that was in many ways very similar to
- 18 the fire at the building where Madison was
- 19 accused of starting. And we had a witness at
- 20 that said that Andre Council was seen running
- 21 from that building. In fact, he had been picked
- up on it because I knew that he had been picked
- 23 up on it.
- .24 Though he had been released it

- 1 was our position that he was released because he
- 2 was the witness again Madison Hobley. We
- 3 believed it was relevant and at least for the
- 4 jury to decide whether he had been released or
- 5 given some consideration because of his role as a
- 6 witness. We were precluded by Judge Berkos at
- 7 the State's vehement objection. We had a big
- 8 conference in chambers. I think that's in the
- 9 transcript.
- 10 Q Ms. Harmon, calling your attention to
- 11 the handwritten notes indicating that Mr. Council
- 12 is going to be released and it's sign by
- 13 detective Arkia (phonetically), it looks like
- 14 Sherwin there, had you seen those handwritten
- 15 notes before at trial?
- 16 A No, I never got a copy that contained
- 17 those notes.
- 18 Q I also want to call your attention to
- 19 the portion of the report that has the words
- written V-e-l-e-c-i, could be an 1, could be a c,
- 21 could be a t, h, and the notation 11B24 under
- 22 that. Do you see that?
- A I see.
- .24 Q What does that mean to you?

Case: 1:03-cv-03678 Document #: 832-23 Filed: 10/10/07 Page 27 of 34 PageID #:7702

Hobley v. Burge, et al Case No. 03-3678

```
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
              FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
  2
                       EASTERN DIVISION
  3
    MADISON HOBLEY,
                    Plaintiff,
  5
               vs.
                                           No. 03 C 3678
  6
    CHICAGO POLICE COMMANDER JON
    BURGE, DET. ROBERT DWYER, DET.
 7
    JAMES LOTITO, DET. VIRGIL MIKUS,
    DET. DANIEL MCWEENY, DET. JOHN
 8
    PALADINO, SGT. PATRICK GARRITY,
    and the CITY OF CHICAGO,
 9
                    Defendants.
10
11
              The deposition of JULIE M. HARMON taken
    pursuant to notice of taking deposition, before
12
    Tracy Jones, C.S.R. No. 084-004553, Certified
13
    Shorthand Reporter within and for the County of
14
    Cook, State of Illinois, at 10 South Wacker Drive,
15
    Chicago, Illinois, on the 11th day of August 2005.
16
17
         APPEARANCES:
18
              LOEVY & LOEVY, by
19
              MR. KURT H. FEUER
              (312 North May Street, Suite 100
20
               Chicago, Illinois
                                   60607)
                 appeared on behalf of the plaintiff;
21
22
23
24
```

```
1
          APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)
  2
               HERVAS, SOTOS, CONDON & BERSANI, P.C., by
               MR. MICHAEL W. CONDON
  3
               (333 Pierce Road, Suite 195
                Itasca, Illinois 60143)
                  appeared on behalf of the defendants
                  Chicago Police Commander Jon Burge,
 5
                  Det. Robert Dwyer, Det. James Lotito,
                  Det. Virgil Mikus, Det. Daniel
 6
                  McWeeny Det. John Paladino,
                  Sqt. Patrick Garrity;
 7
               DYKEMA GOSSETT ROOKS PITTS, by
 8
              MR. LEE T. HETTINGER
               (10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2300
 9
                Chicago, Illinois 60606)
                  appeared on behalf of the defendant
10
                  City of Chicago;
11
              HOOKS LAW OFFICES, P.C., by
              MR. WILLIAM H. HOOKS
12
               (29 South LaSalle Street, Suite 333
               Chicago, Illinois 60603)
13
                  appeared on behalf of the deponent.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

there," what do you refer to that as? Is that your trial file?

A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. And with respect to the Madison Hobley case, you were the lead attorney on the trial, correct?
 - A. I was.
- Q. Okay. And how many trial files did you maintain with respect to Madison Hobley's case?
- A. I couldn't tell you. I started off with the public defender file, it's a manilla, very little stretch to it file. So that would have gone then into my next thing that I always use was a brown accordion type file. I know there was a couple of those.

Then I ended up getting a xerox room box and putting stuff in there. I know we used these two brown transfer cases, but I think that was when we were totally done with the case, and we all put our stuff together. I kept it in a big drawer, I don't know. You know, like one of those credenza sliding drawers. I would not be able to tell you how many particular of those little files there were.

```
1
    related to the post-conviction hearings that you
  2
    knew there were two RD numbers before the trial
  3
    started, would that have been accurate?
 4
                 Oh, it was early in the case I learned,
 5
    I just don't know exactly when I learned it.
                                                     Not
    the first date. At some point, a document came
 6
 7
    that had another RD number that I then referenced.
 8
          0.
                 And my question is you knew that before
 9
    the trial started.
10
          Α.
                 Yes, absolutely.
11
                        Let me show you what was
          0.
                 Okay.
12
    previously marked in Jeff Howard's deposition as
13
    Howard Exhibit No. 1, ask you to take a look at
14
    that document.
15
          Α.
                Yes.
16
          0.
                Have you seen that document or a copy
17
    of that document before?
18
          Α.
                Yes.
19
          Q.
                Okay.
                        And what do you understand it
20
   to be?
21
          Α.
                A fingerprint report.
22
                Okay.
                        And am I correct that you
23
   testified in your deposition and at the
24
   post-conviction hearing that you hadn't received
```

```
that fingerprint report at any time during the
  1
  2
    course of Madison Hobley's trial?
  3
           Α.
                 Correct.
  4
           Q.
                 Okay. Now, you had sent subpoenas to
 5
    the crime lab before the trial started asking them
 6
    for any fingerprint reports, correct?
 7
           Α.
                 I had.
 8
           Q.
                 Okay.
                        And it's your testimony -- am I
 9
    correct that it's your testimony that you never
10
    received the results of any such --
11
          Α.
                 Correct.
12
          Ο.
                 -- test?
13
          Α.
                 Correct.
14
                 Okay. Did you -- at any time prior to
          Q.
    trial or during the course of the trial, did you
15
16
    file any motion with the court asking that the
17
    results of any fingerprint tests be turned over?
18
          Α.
                During trial.
19
          Q.
                Okay.
                        And that was at the point that
20
   you made the motion for a mistrial; is that
21
   correct?
22
          Α.
                Correct.
23
          0.
                How about prior to that?
24
          A.
                I can't tell you that. I know that I
```

```
would in court ask for all -- did they give
everything, do I have all the reports on a number
of different occasions. I suspect I specifically
asked in court if the State had any fingerprint
reports, but I'm presuming that. I would have to
get every date's court reporter to see if that's
true or not.

Q. Okay. Based on what you've testified
to in your deposition at the post-conviction
hearing, you were aware that Detective Dwyer had
written a supplemental report where he claimed that
the can had been tested for fingerprints, and it
```

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you knew that before the trial started?
 - A. Correct.

came back negative?

- Q. Okay I'm going show you what you we've had marked as Howard Exhibit 3. Have you seen the document which we've had marked as Exhibit No. 3?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You've seen that before today?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know when the first time was

```
1
    would suspect -- not when they said there was no
  2
    report, but I don't know that. I mean, I have no
  3
    knowledge.
    BY MR. CONDON:
  4
 5
           Q.
                 Okay. All right.
                                    Let me lastly show
    you what was previously marked as Howard Exhibit 5.
 6
 7
                    Have you had an opportunity to
 8
    review Howard Exhibit 5?
 9
          Α.
                 Yes, I have.
10
          Q.
                 Okay.
                        And that consists of three
11
    pages, correct?
12
          Α.
                 Correct.
13
                Have you ever seen the first page of
          Q.
14
    the exhibit, the arrest report regarding Andre
15
    Council?
16
          Α.
                 Yes.
17
          Q.
                And did you see that arrest report
    prior to Madison Hobley's trial beginning?
18
19
          Α.
                Yes.
20
          Q.
                Okay.
                        If you would go to the third
   page of Howard Exhibit 5. Have you seen that
21
22
   document before?
23
          Α.
                I have.
24
          0.
                And what is that?
```